

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/721,261	11/26/2003	Chin-Chang Shih	YEO 159	6436
7590 06/02/2004			EXAMINER	
RABIN & BERDO, P.C.			WORRELL JR, LARRY D	
Suite 500 1101 14th Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005			3765	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/721,261	SHIH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Danny Worrell	3765
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the description of the descrip	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The terms "weave", "interwoven", etc should be avoided since they are art specific terms related to a specific fabric forming process. To avoid confusion, the examiner suggests the terms: "knit", "knitted", "interlaced", etc. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Re claim 1, the art specific term "weave" is inaccurate since the process involves knitting. The term "the bottom of the fabric section " is indefinite as it lacks clear antecedent basis.

Re claim 2, the art specific term "interwoven" is inaccurate since the process involves knitting. The term "the figure" is indefinite as it lacks clear antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mednick (3258942).

Mednick (3258942) teaches the invention as claimed including a knitting process with a trimming (20) involving the use of a knitting machine to weave a preset number respectively of warp (22) and weft (24) into a fabric section in a given length is characterized by that multiple warps and wefts being interwoven into multiple braids in cable stitch starting from the bottom of the fabric section (see figures 1 and 10). The fabric section is comprised of having each warp arranged longitudinally in parallel made into multiple continuous loops in sequence; each warp being respectively interwoven by at least two wefts(24, 26); a first weft and a final weft being respectively knitted from top to bottom in a fashion of the figure into first warp and a final warp; those wefts being continuously and alternatively interwoven though those warps either to the right or left until the fabric section in a preset length is attained; and starting from the bottom of the fabric section those wefts being knitted in sequence by passing through the warps into multiple braids to form the trimming. The braids are made into a corrugated, flushed, or serrated form. The curtain is made from natural yarn including cotton, linen, and silk, or synthetic yarn.

Art Unit: 3765

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Worrell whose telephone number is 703/308-0889. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 703/305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3765

LDW